# LAW OFFICES One Arizona Center, 400 E. Van Buren, Suite 1900 Phoenix, Arizona 85004-2202 602.382.6000



**BOB STUMP** 

**BOB BURNS** TOM FORESE

ANDY TOBIN

OMMISSIONERS

DOUG LITTLE - CHAIRMAN

## BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION RECEIVED

2016 AUG 17 P 4: 16



6

4

5

1

7

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

21

20

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF SOUTHWEST GAS RPORATION FOR THE TABLISHMENT OF JUST AND ASONABLE RATES AND CHARGES DESIGNED TO REALIZE A REASONABLE RATE OF RETURN ON THE FAIR VALUE OF THE PROPERTIES OF SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION DEVOTED TO ITS ARIZONA OPERATIONS.

Docket No. G-01551A-16-0107

REPLY

Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED

00001726

AUG 1 7 2016

**DOCKETED BY** 

Desert Valley Natural Gas, LLC, (Desert Valley) hereby replies to Southwest Gas Corporation's (SWG) Opposition and Response to Desert Valley LLC's Motion to Intervene (the Opposition). Desert Valley requests that its Motion to Intervene be granted in this proceeding. As explained in more detail herein, contrary to the Opposition, Desert Valley will be directly and substantially affected by the outcome of this rate case and its participation in this proceeding will not unduly broaden the issues before the Commission. In support hereof, Desert Valley states as follows:

Desert Valley Should be an Intervenor Because it will be Directly and 1. Substantially Affected by how the Commission Addresses Discriminatory Schedule No. T-1 in this Proceeding.

As stated in its Motion to Intervene, "Desert Valley seeks to intervene in this proceeding in connection with the terms and conditions of Schedule No. T-1 as it exists, may be modified or supplemented." As it stands, Schedule No. T-1 is discriminatory in

that it only allows small commercial customers who are affiliated with an existing SWG industrial (also referred to as "Transportation-Eligible") customer to choose their own natural gas supplier. No other commercial customers are allowed to choose their own natural gas supplier under SWG's tariffs. *See* Schedule No. T-1 at 1.2, Schedule G-25. The tariff unduly rewards industrial customers and their commercial affiliates and penalizes all other commercial customers who are not affiliated with industrial customers by denying them access to alternative natural gas suppliers.

Desert Valley is directly and substantially affected by the outcome of this proceeding because if no action is taken with respect to Schedule No. T-1, Desert Valley will be unable to offer its services to commercial customers of SWG. This impact to Desert Valley (and the affected customers) could not be more direct and substantial.

In its Opposition, SWG attempts to make much of the proposed change to Schedule T-1 and the potential need for certification and rule making relating to such a change. But these issues are speculative at this point, go to the merits of potential changes and are not relevant to the present issue of whether Desert Valley is directly and substantially impacted by Schedule T-1 and should be permitted to intervene and make its case for a change in the tariff .

# 2. Desert Valley's Participation in the Proceeding is Proper and will not Unduly Broaden the Scope of this Proceeding.

In its Opposition, SWG also claims that permitting Desert Valley to intervene to seek modification of Schedule No. T-1 will unduly broaden the scope of this proceeding. But this argument carries little weight given that SWG has indicated that it is seeking to make changes to its tariffs and rate design. For example, in the Application filed with the Commission on May 2, 2016 SWG stated, "In addition, the Company is proposing enhancements to existing regulatory mechanisms and **tariff provisions**, as well as several new proposals, each of which are designed to benefit customers..." *See* Application at 1; emphasis added. The Application goes on to explain that "Southwest Gas **proposes** several changes to its Arizona Gas Tariff to make a variety of updates and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

"housekeeping changes"...additional information regarding the circumstances and conditions justifying Southwest Gas' proposed tariff changes is providing in the supporting testimony that accompanies this application" Id. at 7-8; emphasis added. Having already indicated that it will present various changes and modifications to its tariffs, SWG cannot now complain that having Desert Valley propose changes to a discriminatory tariff unduly broadens the scope of this proceeding.

In fact, as SWG already recognizes, the pending rate case is not only the proper forum to address questions surrounding Schedule No. T-1 and SWG's other tariffs, it is the best one as any tariff modifications can be considered within the full context of SWG's rates, rate design and other proposals before the Commission.

### CONCLUSION

Desert Valley is directly and substantially affected by the outcome of this rate case. Desert Valley is currently prevented from providing service to SWG customers by Schedule T-1 and SWG customers are affected by the tariff as well. Further, participation by Desert Valley to attempt to seek a modification of Schedule No. T-1 does not unduly broaden the scope or issues of this rate case given that SWG has already indicated that it will seek changes and modifications to existing tariffs. The determination of a Motion to Intervene is not based on an examination of the evidence that may be submitted or the potential outcomes that may arise at hearing. The standard for intervention is direct and substantial impact to the intervenor and that standard is met here.

Wherefore, for all the foregoing reasons, Desert Valley respectfully requests that the Commission issue its order granting Desert Valley's Motion to Intervene in this docket.

# RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 17<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2016.

SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.

Raymond S. Heyman
J. Matthew Derstine
One Arizona Center
400 E. Van Buren, Suite 1900
Phoenix, Arizona 85004-2202
Telephone: 602.382.6000
Facsimile: 602.382.6070

Attorneys for Desert Valley Natural Gas, LLC

Phoenix, AZ 85003

	l	
Snell & Wilmer  LAW OFFICES  One Arizona Center, Arizona 85004-2202  floorint, Arizona 85004-2202	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Meghan Grabel OSBORN MALEDON, P.A. 2929 North Central Avenue, Suite 2100 Phoenix, AZ 85012 Attorneys for Arizona Investment Council mgrabel@omlaw.com Consented to Service by Email  Gary Yaquinto, President & CEO Arizona Investment Council 2100 N. Central Avenue, Suite 210 Phoenix, AZ 85004 Gyaquinto@arizonaaic.org Consented to Service by Email  Daniel Pozefsky, Chief Counsel Residential Utility Consumer Office 1110 West Washington, Suite 220 Phoenix, AZ 85007  Cynthia Zwick, Executive Director Kevin Hengehold, Energy Program Director Arizona Community Action Association 2700 N. 3 <sup>rd</sup> St., Suite 3040 Phoenix, AZ 85004  COASH & COASH, INC. Court Reporting, Video and Videoconferencing 1802 North 7 <sup>th</sup> Street Phoenix, AZ 85006
	26	
	27	
	28	